

# Presdales School



<b>Title</b>	Exams Review of Marking and Appeals Procedure (For externally marked assessments)
<b>Version</b>	March 2021
<b>Author/Title</b>	George Martin, Examination Officer
<b>Committee Responsible</b>	Students
<b>Trustee Link</b>	Maddie Waller
<b>Date approved by Committee</b>	March 2021
<b>Date approved by Full Trust Board</b>	NA
<b>Review Date</b>	March 2022

## Enquiries & comments

Any enquiries and comments about this publication may be made to:

Telephone: 01920 462210 / Email: [admin@presdales.herts.sch.uk](mailto:admin@presdales.herts.sch.uk)

Address: Hoe Lane, Ware, Hertfordshire SG12 9NX

## **Purpose of this procedure**

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements at Presdales School for dealing with enquiries about results and candidate appeals against any centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation, or an appeal.

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available (see below for details of how these are managed at Presdales school).

If teaching staff at Presdales School or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) have a concern that a results may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

## **Review of Results (RoRs):**

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check) – This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (Multiple choice Tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking) – This service is only available for externally assessed components of GCSE A-Level Specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications)
- Service 3 (Review of moderation) – This service is not available to an individual candidate

## **Access to Scripts (ATS):**

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning.

## **Post-results Services**

Full details of the post results services, deadlines for requesting a services and the fees charged (where applicable) are provided to candidates by the Exams Officer. This information will be emailed to students and parent(s)/carer(s) during the Summer term and will also be available on the school website.

## **Centre actions in response to a concern about a results**

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, Presdales school will:

- Look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant results reports, grade boundary information etc., when made available by the awarding bode, to determine if the concern may be justified.

For **written** components that contributed to the final grade, Presdales School will:

- Where a place at university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking.

In all other instances:

- Consider accessing the scripts by:
  - Where the service is made available by the awarding body, requesting a priority copy of the candidate's scripts to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline **OR**
  - Where the option is made available by the awarding body viewing the candidates' marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
- Collect written consent/permission from the candidate to access the script
- On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking.
- Support a request for the appropriate Review of Results service (Clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
- Collect written consent from the candidate to request the review of results service before the request is submitted.
- Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body.

In order to request any of the above mentioned post results services, the correct form must be completed in full and returned to the Exams Officer by the deadline. This form will be available on the school website.

For **moderated** components that contributed to the final grade Presdales School will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work on an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised.
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a Review of Results service 3 (Review of Moderation) will not be available.
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for all candidates in the original sample.

## **Candidate consent**

Presdales School will:

- Acquire written candidate consent in all cases before a request for a Review of Results service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Informed consent via candidate email will only be accepted if it is not possible for the correct form to be completed.
- Acquire informed candidate consent to confirm that the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded
- Only collect candidate consent after the publication of results

## **Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)**

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, Presdales School will:

- For a review of marking (Review of Results priority service 2), advise the candidate a review may be requested by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission (and any required fee) for the centre to access the script from the awarding body.
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for the centre to request the service from the awarding body
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (Review of Results service 3) cannot be requested for the work on an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample.

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, they should state their case for this in writing, to the Head of Centre at least 5 days prior to the deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal before the deadline for submitting a request for a review of marking.

## Appeals

Following a Review of Results outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre at Presdales School remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal.

The JCQ publications Post-Result Services and JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the Review of Results outcome, but the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, an internal appeal may be made directly to the centre. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet.

To submit an internal appeal:

- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within the time specified by the centre from the notification of the outcome of the review of result
- Subject to the head of centre's decision, the preliminary appeal will be processed and submitted to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of received the outcome of the review of results process.
- Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exam officer)
- If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.